RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CONTER

OCT 1 4 2008

PATENT

Rev 02/07

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application : Pieter Theodorus Johannes Aquarius

Application No. 10/810,349 porting. March 26, 2004

8227 Confirmation No.

APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR LOADING CONTAINERS For

WITH BAGS

Examiner : Michelle Lopez

: VER-179XX De reference Attorney's Docket

TC Art Unit: 3721

hereby certify that this correspondence is being sent via facsimile to Examiner Michelle Lopez, TC Art Unit 3721 Fax No. (571) 273-8380, on October 14, 2008.

Registration No. 25,467

Attorney for Applicant

AMENDMENT PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. §1.116

Via Pacsimile Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Final Office Action dated April 11, 2008. submits the following remarks and request Applicant for reconsideration.

18/15/2898 VBUILL 88889946 238894 18618349

555,88 88 82 FC:2253

98134547400 SINCESSE, 20064817 & CRESCOTT LLP 7001, 18071 SIG-1834 988, 18011 654-6811



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasotra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/810,349	03/26/2004	Pieter Theodorus Aquarius	VER-179XX	8227	
207 WEINGARTE	7590 11/07/200 N. SCHURGIN, GAGN	EXAM	EXAMINER		
TEN POST OFFICE SQUARE BOSTON, MA 02109			LOPEZ, MICHELLE		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3721	•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/07/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/810,349	AQUARIUS, PIETER THEODORUS	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Michelle Lopez	3721	

	Examine	AILOIIL	
	Michelle Lopez	3721	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	orrespondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 14 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (periods; 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I 	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07((f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>14 October 2008</u>. A br the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl 	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avoid disr	nissal of the
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co 			cause
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		E below),	
(c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. A For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile that status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-8,10,11,13,15 and 19-22.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 16-18,23.			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
/Rinaldi I Rada/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3721			

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment to the claims introduces language that changes the scope of the invention to the extent that it requires further search and considerations.